(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2637 /2001

PETITIONER

M/s S.S. Udyog,
An Industrial Unit and a
Partnership Firm registered
Under Partnership Act
Through its Partner,
Shri Pawan Mantri,
H.O. 17/N,Heavy Indl.Area,
Hathkhoj,
Bhilai,
Dist. Durg (C.G.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Steel Authority of India
Limited,
Through General Manager,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Bhilai,
Dist. Durg (C.G.)

2. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Department of Commerce &
Industries,Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Commissioner,
Industries of Chhattisgarh,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2637
Presented by Shri ... Advocate
dated ... 14.12.2001

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OFCERTIORARI,MANDAMUS,PROHIBITION AND FOR THER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OF ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

(99)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2637/2001 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

15-1-2002

Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ranbir Singh, with Shri Deep Kesharwani, counsel for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner is an industrial unit engaged in manufacture of various industrial products. It requires certain items as raw materials which are provided by the respondent No. 1 being its bye-products. The respondent No. 1 is supplying bye-products to several other industrial units.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has submitted documents and also made representations for supplying the bye-products. The prayer made in this writ petition is that the representations dated 12-5-2001, 8-8-2001/16-8-2001 and 17-8-2001 filed cumulatively as Annexure P-8 may be considered and suitable orders may be passed.

Having considered the facts and circumstances and heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, in the opinion of this Court, it

XI-HC—78

(109)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 2637/2001

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

would be just and proper if a direction is issued to the respondent No. 1 to decide the aforesaid representations as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order along with the true copy of this writ petition

With the aforesaid observations, this petition is disposed of at this stage.

Certified photocopy as per rules and the photocopy to the State Counsel.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge